

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.		John Victor Lamont	111723	2576	
10/046,728	01/17/2002	John Victor Lamont			
25944 75	90 05/21/2002				
OLIFF & BER	OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			FORMAN, BETTY J		
ADDAMIDA	•, •••		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1634 DATE MAILED: 05/21/200	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/046,728	10/046,728 LAMONT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		BJ Forman	1634				
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address			
Period for	r Reply						
THE N - Exten after s - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of to will apply and will expire SIX (6) M	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered t ONTHS from the mailing date of the ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	imely. iis communication.			
Status	- 17 filed on 17	lanuary 2002					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	This action is non-final.					
2a)[_			notters prosecution as t	o the merits is			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
•	ion of Claims	on					
4)⊠	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>						
		awit from consideration.					
5)							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
	tion Papers	ner		_			
9)니	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	conted or h) objected to	by the Examiner.	•			
10) <u> </u> 	The drawing(s) filed onis/aie: a) ac	the drawing(s) he held in a	bevance. See 37 CFR 1.8	5(a).			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
The proposed drawing services							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
1							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	oign priority under 35 U.S	C & 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a	a) All b) Some * c) None of:	have been received					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	in Application No				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PC) Rule 17.2(	a)).				
44	Asknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provi	sional application).			
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received						
1	] Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.	S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121				
Attachm		مادا	rview Summary (PTO-413) Pa	per No(s)			
1 21 🗆 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) Not	ice of Informal Patent Applicat	ion (PTO-152)			
	Tartered Office			Part of Paper No. 8			

Application/Control Number: 10/046,728

Art Unit: 1634

## Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-14, drawn to a method for imaging molecules, classified in class 435,
     subclass 4.
  - II. Claim 15, drawn to a computer program, classified in class 11, and subclass 100.
  - III. Claim 16, drawn to n imaging device, classified in class 382, subclass 128.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- a. Inventions I and II are related as process of using a product and the product. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product and the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product. The method of Invention I can be practiced manually by imaging molecules with the a microscope or the naked eye to visualize x-ray film or enzymatic reaction product. Additionally, the computer of Invention II can be used as a word processor.

b. Inventions I and III are related as process of using a product and the product. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product and the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product. The method of Invention I

Application/Control Number: 10/046,728

Art Unit: 1634

can be practiced manually by imaging molecules with the a microscope or the naked eye to visualize x-ray film or enzymatic reaction product. Additionally, the imaging device of Invention III can be used to image various materials and/or samples e.g. tissue samples, bacterial cultures, parasites and/or inorganic materials.

c. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product and the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product. The computer of Invention II can be used as a word processor and the imaging device of Invention III can be used manually.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/046,728

Art Unit: 1634

## Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BJ Forman whose telephone number is (703) 306-5878. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 TO 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 308-8724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

BJ Forman, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit: 1634

May 16, 2002